

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/913,353	08/13/2001	Shuhei Ishikawa	789-071	8579
25191 7	590 09/10/2004		EXAMINER	
BURR & BROWN			TURNER, ARCHENE A	
PO BOX 7068 SYRACUSE, 1	NY 13261-7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1775	
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/10/200	4

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u></u>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Sil				
055 4.45 0	09/913,353	ISHIKAWA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Archene Turner	1775					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ju</u>	ıne 2004.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 66,68-73,75-94 and 96-106 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 66,68-73,75-94 and 96-106 is/are rejected to. 	vn from consideration.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.1					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	Э				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/913,353 Page 2

Art Unit: 1775

1. Claims 66,68-73,75-94,96-106 are of this application conflict with claims 1-39 of Application No. 10/279,959. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

3. Claims 73,75-80, 82,84-87, 89-94, 96, 98-104106 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nishibayashi (6,270,848).

Nishibayashi et al discloses the claimed copper carbon composite having the claimed components therein (column 6, line 15).

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/913,353

Art Unit: 1775

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 66,68-73,75-94,96-106 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobe Steel (JP 62-207832) in view of Ishikawa et al (6,110,577).

Kobe Steel discloses the known use of an additive of p and/or Mg in a copper carbon composite. In table 2, Fe, Zr and Sn are also shown.

These components are shown in Ishikawa et al to be functionally equivalent materials to those claimed to improve a copper composite.

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the claimed components in a copper carbon composite as these components are known in the composite art to improve performance of copper composites as shown by Ishikawa et al.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Archene Turner whose new telephone number is (571) 272-1545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Wednesday, and Friday from 10:30 am. to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Please remember to include on the fax, the art unit 1775, serial number and Examiner's name.

Art Unit: 1775

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. A. Turner Primary Examiner Group 1700

aat